Dwora Gilula

P. Oxy. 2737 and Aristophanes' Early Career

aus: Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik 81 (1990) 101–102

© Dr. Rudolf Habelt GmbH, Bonn

101

P.OXY. 2737 AND ARISTOPHANES' EARLY CAREER

In the Select Addenda to the 1988 reprint of Pickard-Cambridge, Dramatic Festivals of Athens² J.Gould and D.M.Lewis, addressing themselves to the evidence afforded by the new fragment of Eratosthenes preserved in P.Oxy. 2737, lines 44-51 (CGFP 56 = Ar.F590 K.-A. = Plat. test.7 K.-A.): φ[ηcὶ δὲ] καὶ Ἐρατοσθένης περὶ Πλάτωνος ὅτι ἕως μὲν [ἄλ]λοις ἐδίδου τὰς κωμωιδίας, εὐδοκίμει, δι' αὐτοῦ δὲ πρῶτον διδάξας τοὺς Ῥαβδούχους καὶ γενόμενος τέταρτος ἀπεώθη πάλιν εἰς τοὺς Ληναϊκούς express the opinion that there was 'a category of poets who were or were not entitled to compete at the Dionysia' and that there was 'some kind of barrier that competitors at the Dionysia had to cross and that those entitled to compete at the Lenaea were on a lower level' (p.359).¹

This passage, perhaps, may also provide a clue to the secrecy which surrounded Aristophanes' career prior to his successful production of the Knights at the Lenaea of 424 BC, a secrecy about which we learn from an early and reliable source, Aristophanes himself.² The explanation of the scholiasts (Clouds 530c, Tzetzes Clouds 518ab, 530b, Vita XXXIIb, p.145,5-7 Koster) that Aristophanes was prevented from producing the Banqueters in his own name by a law which prescribed a minimum age,³ though in itself

¹ The barrier to be crossed need not have been a law but a tradition, or even just a reluctance of the archons to grant a chorus for the more prestigious Dionysia to unqualified beginners. The text has attracted a fair crop of discussion, see esp. Th.Gelzer, RE Suppl. XII (1970) col.1564; W.Luppe, APF 21 (1974) 93-110; ZPE 46 (1982) 147-159; 54 (1984) 15-16; G.Mastromarco, RhM 121 (1978) 19-34; ZPE 51 (1983) 29-35; R.M.Rosen, ZPE 76 (1989) 223-228, with references. Gelzer believes that a success at the Lenaea entitled the winner to a chorus at the Dionysia; Mastromarco argues that there was a 'norma agonistica' according to which a failure at the Dionysia restricted the losing poet to the Lenaea for one year, in the case of Aristophanes the Dionysia of 422 BC; Luppe denies an existence of such a 'norma' and believes that Plato, on account of his diffidence, voluntarily refrained for quite a long time from competing at the Dionysia; Rosen suggests that it was 'a caprice of the archon in charge of poet-selection' (p.226). It is worth noting that contrary to Plato's experience Aristophanes did succeed in winning a victory with the first play he produced without the assistance of others. Perhaps it was this success with the Knights at the Lenaea of 424 which paved the way for granting him a chorus for the Dionysia of the following year, the ill-starred production of the Clouds in 423.

²See Wasps 1018-22, Clouds 528-33. According to the 'orthodox' interpretation to which I subscribe, the secret period falls in the years 427-5, before Aristophanes asked for a chorus (Knights 513) and produced a play on his own in 424. Three known plays of Aristophanes fall within this period, the Banqueters (427), the Babylonians (426) and the Acharnians (425). G.Mastromarco, QS 10 (1979) 153-96 and S.Halliwell, CQ 30 (1980), 33-45, who postulate a tripartite division of Aristophanes' early career, assign the secret period to the years preceding the production of the Banqueters without offering an explanation of the secrecy or the need for it; on that point see D.M.MacDowell, CQ (1982) 22; the entire question was recently summed up in detail by F.Perusino, Dalla commedia antica alla commedia di mezzo, Urbino [1987] 37-57.

³ See K.J.Dover, Aristophanes: Clouds (Oxford 1968) ad 530 and p.xviii, and Halliwell's discussion, art.cit. (note 2, above) 33-4 and references nn.5-7. Halliwell argues that Knights 512-6 is intelligible only if we assume that Aristophanes had a choice and was not legally prevented by an age barrier from producing his own plays. But granting that there was no age barrier, still his choice may have been limited to the Lenaea where the Knights was produced.

102 D.Gilula

suspect of being an explanation inferred from the text of Clouds 530-1, seems nevertheless to imply that the secrecy resulted from some sort of a transgression, if not of law then of custom, which it was necessary to cover up. P.Oxy. 2737,44-51 allows us to surmise that this transgression had something to do with producing plays at the Dionysia when one was not yet entitled to compete at that festival.

It is not known at which festival Aristophanes' first play, the Banqueters, was performed.⁴ Since the communis opinio has it that the Babylonians was performed at the Dionysia (Σ Ach.378), Gelzer, on the strength of the evidence of P.Oxy. 2737,44-51, concludes that the Banqueters must have been produced at the Lenaea, and that it was its success which qualified Aristophanes' Babylonians for the Dionysia.⁵ Gelzer, however, does not address himself to the secrecy problem and does not take into account the fact that the play was not produced by Aristophanes himself but by Callistratus, who was not a beginner and could compete, therefore, at the Dionysia. It was precisely because Callistratus produced Aristophanes' plays where and when Aristophanes himself was not entitled to that the autorship of Aristophanes had to be kept secret.⁶

The Hebrew University, Jerusalem

Dwora Gilula

⁴ See Ar.test. *20 K.-A. The view that it was performed at the Lenaea rests on the erroneous interpretation of Ach. 1155ff. advanced, among others, already by F.V.Fritzsche in De Daetalensibus Aristophanis Commentatio (Lipsiae 1831) 9, against which A.Briel warned in his dissertation De Callistrato et Philonide (Berlin 1887) 19; see more recently A.C.Cassio, Aristofane: Banchettanti (Pisa 1977) 20, who states that there is a probability but no proof that it was performed at the Lenaea. Russo's preference for the Dionysia rests, unfortunately, on wrong premises (the existence of two theatres), see C.F.Russo, Aristofane, autore di teatro² (Firenze 1984) 20-31, esp. p.31, ἐνθάδε - here in the theatre of Dionysus at the Dionysia.

⁵ See note 1, above.

⁶ Whether a comparable secrecy was a feature also of Plato's early career cannot be deduced from P.Oxy. 2737, nor can general conclusions be drawn regarding the nature of the relationships of other poets with their producers or the degree of secrecy with which such relationships were surrounded. Why the production of the Acharnians at the Lenaea of 425 was kept secret as well is unclear, but since Aristophanes himself tells us it was (see above), the conclusion must be reached, which many have been reluctant to draw, that all references to the poet in the Acharnians are references to Callistratus and not to Aristophanes, as was already argued by Briel (note 4, above); this view was endorsed by Rennie and by others, see MacDowell, art.cit. (note 2, above) 21-26; Aristophanes was derided by other comedy writers as working for others (test. 1,7-10; 3,9-10 K.-A); if it was in Aristophanes' interest to keep his authorship unknown he would not have circulated at this particular time copies of his plays bearing his name and thus disclose his identity (but see Dover, Clouds, p.xvii n.2).