S. R. SLINGS

SAPPHO, FR. 94,10

aus: Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik 102 (1994) 8

© Dr. Rudolf Habelt GmbH, Bonn

SAPPHO, FR. 94,10

αἰ δὲ μή, ἀλλά c' ἔγω θέλω 10 ὄμναιcαι, [cù δὲ] δ[ὴ φρ]άcαι, ὄc[c' ἴμερτά τε] καὶ κάλ' ἐπάcχομεν.

The supplement in 11 is given exempli gratia (cf. fr. 112, 4; Alc. fr. 117 b, 5; Archil. fr. 166, 3; 188, 3). My proposal for 10 is based on the assumption that oc[is correctly read at the beginning of 11 ('legi nequit' Lobel-Page, but cf. Voigt's general remark on the Berlin papyrus, p. vi of her edition).¹

Zuntz and Lobel-Page agree that the first lacuna of 10 contained three or four letters; as for the second lacuna, Lobel-Page give two or three letters, Zuntz three. The letter between the two lacunas has been variously diagnosed as $\alpha \delta \lambda \chi$. All scholars who have seen the papyrus agree that the letter preceding the final α_1 is either ε or c. This proposal is made as an alternative for] $\theta \varepsilon \alpha_1$, of which Lobel-Page say, again, 'legi nequit', but which also gives problems of dialect, cf. Page, S & A, 78. The meaning is 'I want to remind you, and *you* must consider [aorist imperative], how many lovely and wonderful things we experienced'.

The aorist of $\varphi p \alpha \zeta \varphi \varphi \alpha \iota$ is normally $\dot{\epsilon} \varphi p \alpha c(\epsilon) \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$ in Homer, but there are some cases of $\dot{\epsilon} \varphi p \dot{\alpha} c \theta \eta \nu$ (τ 485; ψ 260) in the Odyssey. The lyric poets use only the middle: Archil. fr. 172, 1; Margites fr. 7, 7; Solon fr. 5, 4; 34, 3; 36, 24; Simon. fr. eleg. 64, 8 (IEG²). Only Pindar has $\dot{\epsilon}]\pi \epsilon \varphi p \dot{\alpha} c [\alpha \tau \circ (\text{fr. 140b, 3}) \text{ side by side with } \varphi p \dot{\alpha} c \theta \eta (\text{N. 5, 34}).$

The imperative $\varphi \rho \dot{\alpha} \alpha \eta$ does not occur elsewhere in lyric poetry. It is found four times in Homer, three times followed by an interrogative conjunction ($\epsilon i A 83$; $\eta' ... \eta \dot{\epsilon} \pi 260$; $\chi 158$), which is comparable to the quasi-interrogative relative $\delta c [c \alpha here.$

For the Ionic single sigma, which is unexpectedly frequent in Lesbian poetry, cf. E.M.Hamm's Grammatik, 17 and 22. d-stem verbs have aorists in $-cc\alpha$ (four times; cf. Hamm, 135-136), but * $\pi o\delta$ -cı yields $\pi \acute{o}c\iota$ twice, $\pi \acute{o}c\iota$ once.

Denniston's description of $\delta \epsilon \delta \eta$ (259) creates the impression that this collocation is rare in Homer, but in fact it occurs 21 times in the Iliad and 11 times in the Odyssey. Once, it follows a contrastive personal pronoun, as in the supplement proposed here (I 245 $\eta \mu i \nu \delta \epsilon$ $\delta \eta$). It is absent from the Lesbians, but cf. Archil. fr. 54, 6; 156; 172, 3.

Amsterdam, Free University

S.R.Slings

¹ E.M.Voigt, Sappho et Alcaeus, Amsterdam 1971. For references to other literature, see her Conspectus (1-13) and the section CRIT of her apparatus to fr. 94.