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A NEW ROMAN AUXILIARY COHORT IN EGYPT?

P. EGYPT. MUS. INV. S.R. 3055*

In a recent paper Alia Hanafi has published “Three Applications from Oxyrhynchus”, all dated 1 July 127 A.D. (P. Egypt. Mus. inv. S.R. 3055). The second of these, an “Application concerning a loan of money”, will occupy us here, and more specifically the equestrian military career of the archidikastes in question, Iulius Vestinianus Asklepiades, qui et Leonidas:


A. Hanafi cites another papyrus in which the same archidikastes is mentioned: P. Mil. Vogl. (= P. Primi) I 25, col. v (SB VI Bhft. 2 n. 3 col. v), 127 A.D. (May-June):


There is, however, yet another papyrus text that Hanafi does not mention and in which the same archidikastes appears: P. Mil. Vogl. VI 264, 127 A.D.:

II. 1-2: Τιβεριῶν Ἰου[λίου Ὀ[ψ]τεστιανῳ | Ἀ[σκ]ληπιάδῃ τῷ καὶ Λεωνίδῃ ἱερὶ καὶ ἄρχ[i] [δι(καστῇ)] παρὰ etc.

(Ad l. 1: BL VII, p. 123: Οὐστιανιανῷ.)

It is therefore evident that all three texts relate to one and the same archidikastes, whose full name was Tib. Iulius Vestinianus Asklepiades, qui et Leonidas. He was the son of one Leonidas, a former exegetes (text 1). The father, designated by his Greek name alone, apparently did not possess the Roman citizenship. The son, on the other hand, was not only a

---
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Roman citizen, but had also already ascended to equestrian status, for he mentions two stints as praefectus cohortis (texts 1 & 2: ἐπαρχος σπειρης).³

In the present paper I will confine myself to these two military appointments in the career of Tib. Iulius Vestinianus Asklepiades, qui et Leonidas. The social ascent of this archidikastes via his probable patron, L. Iulius Vestinus, ab epistulis Hadriani,⁴ will be discussed in a forthcoming contribution on the equestrian officers from Egypt.⁵

Which two equestrian militiae did Tib. Iulius Vestinianus Asklepiades hold? Let us look at the formulation:

Text 1: [ἐπαρχοι σπειρη τρίτης Βρακῶν καὶ πρώτης Θρακῶν.


A. Hanafi⁶ states that the reading Βρακῶν in text 1 is certain, whereas [Θ]ρακῶν in text 2 is a restoration. If Hanafi’s reading is correct, what is the meaning of ἐπαρχος σπειρης τρίτης Βρακῶν? Or, in other words, what Latin term is rendered by the Greek word Βρακῶν?

Let us start from the interpretation of A. Hanafi⁷:

“First in our document the word Βρακῶν in line 13 is a certain reading... Consequently in P. Mil. 25 col. V, L. 12, the word must be restored Βρακῶν as in our document.

Secondly, the dictionaries say that the word Βρακῶν originally is the Latin word ‘braccae’ meaning “breeches or trews” worn by the Gauls (see LSJ, Βρακαί s.v.). Its synonym in Greek is ἀναζυρίδες which is of Persian origin...

Consequently, we may conclude that the term Βρακῶν here should mean “trews–wearers” although it is not an epithet, and we have to consider it as a generic term form for barbarians. In other words, we can say briefly that this term “trews–wearers” here means the “Gauls”.

Turning back to our document, I think that γενομενοι ἐπαρχος σπειρης τρίτης Βρακῶν should be translated “formerly prefect of the third cohort of the Gauls”. Consequently we can say that in 127 A.D. there was a cohort of Gauls in Egypt and this must be added to the list of cohorts and alae...”

This line of thought is in its entirety rather strange. Why would a Greek translator of the Latin ‘Gallorum’ follow such a reasoning? Would an officer accept that an official unit of the Roman army in which he served was named with a Greek term carrying the negative connotation of ‘barbarian’? What proof is there that these ‘barbarians’ were ‘Gauls’? What proof is there that this ‘cohors III Gallorum’ was ever stationed in Egypt?

The point of departure that the term Βρακῶν must be a Greek rendition of a Latin term is,

---
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my view, the only correct finding in Hanafi’s argumentation. On the other hand, it is far from likely that the translator was looking at the Latin word “braccae”. Indeed, the cohortes and alae of the Roman auxilia are commonly designated with an ethnic. And we know that equestrian officers of Egyptian origin (mainly from the Alexandrian elite – Alexandrea ad Aegyptum) served mainly in Egypt itself or in a neighbouring (Oriental) province. It is therefore obvious that one must look for a cohort in this eastern region with an ethnic that can be related to ἶπρακών.

More specifically the ‘Bracari’ come to mind, the inhabitants of Bracara, the capital of Spanish Callaecia. The town was also called ‘Bracara Augusta’ and its citizens ‘Bracares Augustani’ or ‘Bracarauugustani’. And in the literary sources we find the Greek equivalent ἶπράκαρες – ἶπρακάριοι. The region supplied several cohorts for the Roman auxilia which were designated as ‘cohortes Bracarum’ or ‘cohortes Bracarauugustanorum’. A distinction must be drawn between the ‘cohortes Bracarum’ (or sometimes ‘Braclarorum’) and the ‘cohortes Bracarauugustanorum’. According to J. Benes, the former were recruited from the tribal area of the Bracari, Callaecia, while the Bracarauugustani were drawn from the capital of the territory, viz. the town Bracara Augusta; but P. Le Roux holds that the words Bracari and Bracarauugustani could refer to the same entity.

Now if there was a ‘cohors III Bracarum’ stationed in the East, it enters into consideration for the unit that was commanded by Tib. Iulius Vestini anus: ἕπαρχος σπείρης τρίτης ἶπρακών. The Greek translator regarded the Latin word “Bracarum” as a plural form of the Latin “Bracae”, which would normally rendered in Greek as ἶπρακών. However, I have not managed to find a second attestation of ἶπρακών, not in the inscriptions nor in the papyri.

Yet it would seem more than likely that it is the “cohors III Bracarum” that is meant here. A check of the ‘praefecti cohortis Bracarum / Bracarauugustanorum’ assembled in the PME:
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9 RE III, 1899, 802 s.v. Bracara Augusta, Bracari.
12 AE 1965, 347: [eques cohortis] II Bracarauugusitarom (sic) — ἵπεις χώρτης δευτέρας ἶπρακατών (sic); AE 1969/1970, 572: ἵπεις χώρτης α’ ἶπρακάρου (Moesia Inferior); these two examples of Greek translation perhaps demonstrate that the Latin genitives “Bracarauugustanorum / Bracarum” sounded strange to a Greek speaker.
yields two cases relevant to the present context:

– [C. Cupp]ienus C.f. Pol(lia) [Terminalis] (PME IV, C257bis): praef(ectus) coh(ortis) III Bracaru[m in Syr(ia) Pal[aes(tina) (medio II s.); see also:


Tib. Iulius Vestinianus was, in my opinion, praefectus cohortis III Bracarum (ἐπαρχός στρατιάς Βρακών) in Syria about 120 A.D.; thereafter he held a second militia prima as praefectus cohortis I Thracum (ἐπαρχός στρατιάς Θρακών). It is not so easy to pinpoint the station of a cohors I Thracum, for several homonymous units are attested. 14 In view of the overall context we may well be dealing here with the cohors I Thracum (Augusta equitata), which first was stationed in Syria, 15 later in Egypt. 16

Thus it would appear that Tib. Iulius Vestinianus Asklepiades, like the other equestrian officers from Egypt, never served outside the eastern provinces of the Empire. 17 Moreover, like his colleagues from Egypt, he was not a true vir militaris. He was content with the first rank of the militiae, which he held twice. Such officers, with a literary-legal background, probably harboured no military ambitions, but considered the holding of a single militia as the confirmation, so to speak, of their entry in the ordo equester. 18