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Maintaining older and newer versions of working files often leads to confusions. In my co-authored contribution for L. Koenen's *Festschrift*, older layers of work in the notes have made it into the final publication. These layers affect the following:

(a) The first two paragraphs of the note to lines 5ff. should be conflated into one, and the note should read:

"5ff. ὅπως ὄφηλε εξοδιασκέψαται τὸ ἐξατον τοῖς ἐνοικοῦσιν τὴν Πηλουσιωτῶν: This is an indirect question depending on the preceding expression, in particular the word διάκρισις. What is said here has a strong echo of Latin and it would correspond more or less to accurare diiudicare (or exactam diuicatificationem conficere) quomodo oporteat... .

The idea expressed here is similar to *SB* X.10614.3-9, etc". The rest of the note should remain as printed.

(b) In notes to lines A9 and B8 we connect the document with the department of *res privata* and the office of the *rationalis* (καθολικός). In fact, given the nature of the transaction and the time period, it must have been the *scrinium canonum* of the *sacrae largitiones* that issued the document. As a result, the *officialae* mentioned must belong to the office of the *comes (sacrarum) largitionum*. For this department, see A.H. Jones, *The Later Roman Empire*, 427-37; R. Delmaire, *Largesses Sacrées et Res Privata*, 25 ff. and cf. *CPR* VII 26, p. 112 (point 2). The presence and activities of this department in Egypt are far from clear.
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