# Konstantinos Spanoudakis

# Hellenistic (?) Hexameters Revisited

aus: Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik 127 (1999) 59–62

© Dr. Rudolf Habelt GmbH, Bonn

### HELLENISTIC (?) HEXAMETERS REVISITED\*

Ed.: W. Luppe, *ZPE* 93 (1992), 157 (P.Mich. inv. 4926.i). pap. s.p.C. III in. Disc.: W. Luppe, *l.c.* Tab.: *ZPE* 93, tabula IV.2.

 ]χ[

 ]ε περ αὐτῷ

 ]αο ἔτι γῇ ἐπαλῶμαι

 4
 ]ἐπ' ἐλπίcι μηδὲν ἰδέcθαι

 ]το νῦν ἐπὶ ἐλπίcιν ἐcθλαῖc

 ] κα[ὶ] ε[ἰ]ρήνην ἐcαθρήcαc

 ἀ]πε[ι]ρομόγῷ Διονύcῷ

 8
 ] ρ... δ' ἕβλ[ε]πεν ἡ ᾿Αφροδίτη

 ]καρι νοι[] ῥιπήεντος

**3**  $\alpha$ o vel μο **4** μηδέν vel μηδέν' **5**] το potius quam ]τε **7**] $\lambda$ ομογω Pap. **8** ante δ omnia incerta, ἐπ[ι] δ(ὲ) ἔβλ[- Luppe legi nequit -μένη 'Αφρ. **9** ἤνεγ]κ' ἀριδίνου conj. Luppe, at vn. οι[]ριπ- vel ουριπ- Pap.

W. Luppe, Hellenistische Hexameter, ZPE 93 (1992), 157–9 published the remains of nine hexameter lines transmitted in a badly mutilated papyrus of unknown provenance, which he dated in the 3rd cent. rather than the 2nd cent. AD. As Luppe noted, the fragment is of some interest as it seems to contain two new words,  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon_1\rho\dot{\rho}\mu\sigma\gamma$  and  $\dot{\rho}\pi\eta\epsilon_1c$ .

ἐcαθρήcαc in v. 6 and possibly αὐτῷ in v. 2 suggest a male speaker. ἔτι in v. 3 would suggest a protracted wandering. To describe his adventures this roaming character borrows vocabulary from a famous predecessor, as there seems to be a preference for Odyssey-only words. Such are ἐπαλῶμαι and ἐλπίc. ἰδέcθαι / and ἀ]πε[ι]ρομόγῷ of Dionysus may have an Odyssean background too. The aspirations of this character seem to be also modelled on those of the Homeric hero: v. 6 ε[ἰ]ρήνην ἐcαθρήcαc would indicate a desired end to war.<sup>1</sup>

To this picture Aphrodite and Dionysus are novel attachments, probably meant to help out the character in question, following the model of Odysseus' traditional aides, Athena and Hermes. Although they would constitute a farfetched effort for exquisiteness on the part of this poet, they may not be entirely out of place as Aphrodite, born out of the sea-foam, is  $\pi \circ \tau \tau \alpha$  or  $\epsilon \check{\sigma} \pi \lambda \circ \iota \alpha$  and early Dionysus is associated with Thetis and sea.<sup>2</sup> Luppe interpreted freely the remains of the last three lines as follows:

Hollis *Hecale* A. S. Hollis, *Callimachus*, *Hecale*, Oxford 1990.

West *GM* M. L. West, *Greek Metre*, Oxford 1982.

<sup>1</sup> 'Peace' would be the natural interpretation. On Odysseus' war-weary character see M. Edwards on *Iliad* 19.216–237. At a later stage the scope of the word εἰρήνη broadens. In Philip *GPh* 25.4 εἰρήνην . . φρικαλέης *cπιλάδoc* it stands for γαλήνη to denote the end of toils in the sea, in Palladas *AP* 10.56.16 even for ἡcoχία of termination of love-excitements.

<sup>2</sup> Aphrodite's association with sea was widespread, see V. Pirenne-Delforge, *L'Aphrodite grecque*, Athens–Liège 1994, 433–7. From Imperial times onwards she is often evoked in a sea-and-love context, see Page *FGE* p. 51 f. on Gaetulicus 1.5–

<sup>\*</sup> I thank Dr M. Campbell (St Andrews) for commenting upon an earlier draft of this paper. My thanks are also due to Dr P. A. Heilporn, Assistant Archivist (Michigan) for kindly sending an electronic photograph of the papyrus and to Dr N. Litinas (Rethymno) for patiently reading it with me.

The following abbreviations (except of those in standard use) are employed:

*FGE* D. L. Page, *Further Greek Epigrams*, Cambridge 1981.

GPhA. S. F. Gow – D. L. Page, The Garland of Philip and some contemporary epigrams, Cambridge 1968.HEA. S. F. Gow – D. L. Page, The Greek Anthology: Hellenistic Epigrams, Cambridge 1965.H. W. H. H. L. C. W. L. L. Page, The Greek Anthology: Hellenistic Epigrams, Cambridge 1965.

SH H. Lloyd-Jones – P. J. Parsons, Supplementum Hellenisticum, Berlin-New York 1983.

#### K. Spanoudakis

"nachdem Dionysos ihm die Sorgen verscheucht(e) und Aphrodite sich ihm mehrfach . . . gewogen zeigte. ?Von stürmischen Schicksalsschlägen ist er nun befreit?" But the predominant notion here may be the capacity of Dionysus and Aphrodite as  $\dot{\rho}\epsilon\hat{i}\alpha$  ζώοντες, as contrasted to the cruelty with which they dealt with the speaker's sufferings (vv. 7–9). Their coupling is not unprecedented, and it would not be awkward, if they are understood to represent the joys of love and life.<sup>3</sup>

These are the lamentable remains of the work of what seems to be a poor poet, who employs diction from the Odyssey to describe the adventures of his hero (another Homeric figure?). The double  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\pi ic\iota(v)$ , the second indeed qualified with an adjective, the clumsy hiatus  $\dot{\eta}$  'A $\phi\rhoo\delta$ -, and the conceivable appointment of Dionysus and Aphrodite to supervise sea-journeys are no signs of *leptot* $\hat{\epsilon}s$ . The piece, nevertheless, might have been known to Nonnus (v. 7  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\iota\rho \dot{\rho}\mu o\gamma oc$ ). It seems to date from Imperial, rather than Hellenistic (Luppe), times (cf. nn. on  $\dot{\epsilon}c\alpha\theta\rho \dot{\eta}c\alpha c$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\iota\rho \dot{\rho}\mu o\gamma oc$ ).

1 Traces of one letter which appears to be a  $\chi$  with a peculiar curve on its right edge.

**3** Before τι a trace of the end of a horizontal stroke is visible which could be an ε, so, most probably, with Luppe ἕτι. – ἐπαλῶμαι is used invariably of land and sea and γῇ may cover both as well, but v. 9 indicates that the context is mainly of the sea. The verb occurs in Homer 4x, all in the *Odyssey*; it is never said by Odysseus himself, of him in 15.176, cf. Jason to Aeetes in Apoll. Rhod. 3.348–9 πόλλ' ἐπαληθείc / ἄcτεα καὶ πελάγη cτυγερῆc ἁλόc.

4 ἐλπίc is the expectation of good ('hope') or evil ('fear'), cf. Stesich. fr. 222b.202–3 Davies μηδέ μοι .. / πρόφαινε ἐλπίδας βαρείας, Simon. 20.7 West ἐλπ<sub>ι</sub>ίδ' ἔχ<sub>J</sub>ει γηραςέμεν<sub>L</sub>...θανεῖςθαι, Trag. Adesp. fr. 460.2 Kannicht – Snell ἐλπίζειν κακά and see West on Hesiod WD 96. Of the prospect of homecoming the term is inaugurated in Odyssey 16.101 = 19.84 of Odysseus' nostos (the only occurrences in Homer), cf. then Apoll. Rhod. 4.1272–73 ἐγὼ πῶςαν μὲν ἀπ' ἐλπίδα φημὶ κεκόφθαι / ναυτιλίης νόςτου τε, of a sea-journey Crinag. GPh 16.1–2 τί κεναῖςιν ἀλώμεθα θαρςήςαντες / ἐλπίςιν.

μηδέν' would refer to family and kinship, neutral μηδέν would encompass these and more. The latter would therefore seem more likely, cf. *Iliad* 18.500 μηδὲν ἑλέcθαι /. ἰδέcθαι / in Odyssey commonly expresses the desire of Odysseus to see his home again, following verbs denoting 'wish', 'grant' (δῶκεν), 'can', 'dare' or 'want' (never 'fear'), cf. *Odyssey* 5.209, 5.408, 5.220 (ἐθέλω καὶ ἐέλδομαι) νόςτιμον ἦμαρ ἰδέcθαι / = 8.466, and see *LFrE* s.v. ἰδεῖν Ι.3εγ, I.3hγ.

**5** ἐλπὶc ἐcθλή is a novel combination, paralleled, as Luppe noted, with Theognis 1135 Ἐλπὶc ἐν ἀνθρώποιcι μόνη θεὸc ἐcθλὴ ἔνεcτιν. It alos crops up in a sepulchral epigram GVI 1938.11–12 = 550.b.5–6 Cougny (Rome, 2nd cent. AD) ἄαμοροι ἐσθλῆς / ἐλπίδος ἄνθρωποι. ἐλπὶc ἀγαθή (Hesiod WD 500 ἐλπὶc δ' οὐκ ἀγαθή, Pindar Isth. 8.15a χρὴ δ' ἀγαθὰν ἐλπίδ' ἀνδρὶ μέλειν Soph. Trach. 125) or καλή (Eur. Hec. 351 ἐθρέφθην ἐλπίδων καλῶν ὕπο) are common, cf. also Claudian Gig. 10 Hall παυρότερον δέοc ἐcτὶν ἐπ' ἐλπίcι λωιτέρῃcιν, Nonnus Dion. 9.84 ἐλπίδι λωιτέρῃ with Chrétien ad loc., P.Oxy. 4352 fr. 5.ii.11 (c. AD 285) φ[αε]ινοτέρῃcιν ἐπ' ἐλπωρῃ̂cι. ἐcθλόc 'good of its kind' (LSJ s.v. II.1, 2) implies that the qualified under different circumstances may have a negative strength. The first ἐλπίc appears to be a fear, the second a hope. The ambiguity of the word is employed to signify a change of luck (v. 5 vῦν).

<sup>6</sup> οὕριος ἀλλ' ἐπίλαμψον ἐμῷ καὶ ἔρωτι καὶ ἱςτῷ, / δεςπότι καὶ θαλάμων Κύπρι καὶ ἠϊόνων (on the sous-entendres of these verses see K. Borthwick, LCM 20 (1995), 41–43), Nisbet – Hubbard on Horace Odes 1.5.16. For Dionysus cf. Iliad 6.135–7, Odyssey 24.74 and Homeric Hymn 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Aphrodite of love, Dionysus of revelry. They appear together, eros and wine, in Anacr. 346.56 (?), 357 Page, cf. *Anacreontea* 43.12 f., 49, 52.5 f. West, and Solon fr. 26 West. In Philetas fr. 18 Powell, Callim. fr. 412 Pf. = Diodorus Elait. (? see Jacoby on *FGrHist* 452) *SH* 381 they co-operate to supply Hippomenes with erotic apples. A tradition held them as parents of Priapus, Pausanias 9.31.2, H. Herter, *RE* XXII.2 (1954), 1916.

For ἐπὶ ἐλπίcιν cf. Hesiod WD 498 κενεὴν ἐπὶ ἐλπίδα μίμνων /, Pindar Pyth. 2.49 ἐπὶ ἐλπίδεccı Crinag.<sup>4</sup> GPh 48.1 κεναῖc ἐπὶ ἐλπίcι –× /, Rufinus AP 5.9.1 = 1.1 Page γλυκερωτάτῃ Ἐλπίδι –× /, then Hom. Hy. to Demeter 35 ἔτι ἤλπετο by a 1st cent. BC papyrus (ἕτι δ' ἤλπετο codd.), Pindar Ol. 13.83 παρὰ ἐλπίδα, [Oppian] Cyn. 1.252 κηώδεῦ· ἔλπετο and see M. Campbell, Hiatus in Apollonius Rhodius, in: M. Fantuzzi – R. Pretagostini (edd.), Struttura e storia dell' esametro greco I, Rome 1995, 209 on Apoll. Rhod. \*2.660 ἐπὶ ἤματι etc., id. on Apoll. Rhod. 3.337.

**6** ε[ί]ρήνην seems inevitable, particularly if Naeke's law (no word break after spondaic fourth foot) is observed.<sup>5</sup> For the word in this *sedes* preceded by καί cf. *Odyssey* 24.486, Hesiod *Theog.* 902, Oppian *Hal.* 1.467. ε[ί]ρήνην ἐcαθρήcαc is a Nonnian feature. Poetic ἐcαθρέω occurs in Homer only in *Iliad* 3.450 εἴ που ἐcαθρήcειεν ᾿Αλέξανδρον θεοειδέα/. It was trivialised by Nonnus (*Dion.* + *Par. Jo.*) 31x. Cf. *Par. Jo.* 3.78 ζωῆc . . ἐcαθρήcειε γαλήνην/, 8.87 ἀτρεκίηc .. ἐcαθρήcητε γαλήνην/, Quint. Smyr. 3.111 ἄλγοc . . ἐcάθρηcα/. The construction with an abstract object is late, cf. also epigr.s 208.11, 319.3 Cougny.

7 ἀ]πε[ι]ρομόγφ 'unused to toils' of Dionysus may have an Odyssean vein, if meant to be opposed to what seems to be a πολλὰ μογήcαc character. He would inherit this quality from Odysseus, who extensively employs it, particularly in scenes requesting the help of his hosts, as in *Odyssey* 5.223 πόλλ' ἔπαθον καὶ πόλλ' ἐμόγηcα / κύμαcι καὶ πολέμφ, 5.449, 6.175, 7.147, 8.155. The word has been – probably correctly – conjectured by Marcellus in Nonnus *Dion*. 24.294 (Aphrodite weaving) where L offers ἀπειρομόθου Κυθερείηc, but modern editors prefer Castiglioni's ἀπειροπόνου Κυθερείηc occurring in 24.276, see Hopkinson on 24.294. L. Koenen *apud* Luppe, *l.c.*, 158 considered establishing it in 17.276 νίκην .. ἀπειρομόθου Διονύcου, where the context would favour the paradosis. ἀπειρο– compounds are absent from Hellenistic poetry, after Eubulus fr. 34.1 Kassel – Austin νύμφα ἀπειρόγαμοc and until Antip. Thess. *GPh* 39.2 (Athena) ἀπειροτόκου .. παρθενίηc.

8 The traces before δ are uncertain.<sup>6</sup> Conceivably, what Aphrodite ἕβλ[ε]πεν in this line, might be contrasted to the speaker's ε[i]ρήνην ἐcαθρήcαc in v. 6. The preceding word might then be a participle or adjective describing the speaker toiling in the sea. – Hiatus after the fifth longum is common, particularly with personal names, and so is -η 'Αφροδίτη / from Homer onwards, see Kost on Musaeus 38. Hiatus after the article though seems harsh, cf. Theocr. 10.33 τῷ 'Αφροδίτα / and *id*. 2.7 ἅτ' 'Αφροδίτα, contrast Bion *Epit. Adon.* 19 ἁ δ' 'Αφροδίτα /, Antip. Thess. *GPh* 46.3, [Theocr.] 19.4 τῷ δ' 'Αφροδίτα /, Hesiod *Theog.* 195 τὴν (demonstr.) δ' 'Αφροδίτην, Theocr. 1.72. For the unHomeric, prosaic–sounding use cf. Asclep. *HE* 40.5 τὰ ληςτρικὰ τῆc 'Αφροδίτης /, Anon. *FGE* p. 322, 16.1–2 (early Hellenistic) τὰν 'Αφροδίταν / . . ἱλάςκευ, Callim. *HE* 20 (catalectic iambic dimeter) τὰ δῶρα τἀφροδίτη (Blomfield: τῆ 'Αφροδίτη P), Bion *Epit. Adon.* 33 τὰ πένθεα τῶc 'Αφροδίτας.

**9** A difficult line,<sup>7</sup> apparently the context is of sea-troubles. Tetrasyllabic  $\dot{\rho}_{1\pi}\dot{\eta}\epsilon\nu\tau\sigma c$  for a spondaic ending would hold well with the epic tradition, but the, as it seems, unavoidable spondaic fourth foot would form a disconcertingly heavy rhythm,<sup>8</sup> for which one could probably surmise an intention of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> An epigrammatist "plainly indifferent to the normal rules" concerning hiatus, Gow – Page, GPh I, xli.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Hollis *Hecale*, 20–21, West *GM*, 178. For the gap after -1- cf. the gaps noted by Luppe, v. 2 επαλ ωμαι, v. 9 ριπηε ντος.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For ρ cf.  $-\theta \rho \eta$ - in v. 6. Before that there may be an ε or α, θ, then ι, η, ν, κ are all possible. There follows an uncertain letter, which might be a ξ. Between et δ a letter is superscribed (as the papyrus is erased at this point, there might have even been two letters), which looks more like a v rather than a η, so as to be the end of the lost word.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Dr Litinas wrote about this line: "After  $\rho$  there is a vertical stroke (probably an t) followed by another letter, of which its lower horizontal joins the bottom of the previous vertical. The papyrus is partly erased at this point and the ed. pr. proposed to read  $\delta$ , but in that case delta is missing its right oblique stroke and would have been different than the other deltas in ll. 4 (bis), 7, 8. I assume this damaged letter could be either the bottom half of  $\zeta$ ,  $\xi$  (both letters nowhere else in the papyrus) or a difficult c (made in two movements). Alternatively, after  $\rho$  one could read a  $\kappa$  formed in three movements, although  $\kappa \alpha \rho \kappa v v$ -would be metrically difficult."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See West *GM*, 154, Hollis *Hecale*, 18. One would rather expect a trisyllabic word of the form  $\cup --$  to precede ριπήεντος /. The formation \*ἀρίδινος, postulated by Luppe in this line, would itself be possible: Aratus 918–9 εἰναλίδιναι /

#### K. Spanoudakis

producing a special effect. Novel ῥιπήεις finds itself in harmony with the tendency of late poets to coin such adjectives on Homeric models (φωνή > φωνήεις, φοινή > φοινήεις etc.). Callimachus and certainly Nicander favoured them and the tendency went on unabated until Nonnus. So Nicander coins αὐγή > αὐγήεις, Apoll. Rhod. λώβη > λωβήεις, Quint. Smyr. ῥῶπες > ῥωπήεις Nonnus ὀμφή > ὀμφήεις.<sup>9</sup>

Rethymno

Konstantinos Spanoudakis

62

αἴθυιαι, Nonnus *Dion*. 39.212 ἀλιδινής, also Nonnus *Dion*. 2.457 πολύδινος, Archias *GPh* 8.3, Oppian *Hal*. 4.585, Nonnus *Dion*. 14.208 πολυδινής and Bacchyl. 3.6, 5.38 εὐρυδίνας, further Aesch. *Pers*. 275 ἀλίδονος defended by M. L. West, *Studies in Aeschylus*, Stuttgart 1990, 79–80.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See P. Chantraine, La formation des noms en grec ancien, Paris 1933, 217 f., C. D. Buck – W. Petersen, A Reverse Index of Greek Nouns and Adjectives, Chicago 1945, 460 f., Hollis on Callim. Hec. fr. 74.23 ctιβήειc.

ZPE 130 (2000) 41

## ADDENDUM TO ZPE 127.59 f.

For the inelegant use of the article in v. 8  $\mathring{e}\beta\lambda[e]\pi ev \dot{\eta}$  'Αφροδίτη cf. also Simias *CA* 11.2 έφυ  $\dot{\eta}$ άλυκη ζάψ. The possible successive spondees in v. 9 ]καρι τνοι[] ριπήεντος may not mean to produce a special effect, as I initially thought, but simply be another idiosyncratic metrical liberty. On p. 60, comment on v. 5 for 'alos' read 'also', for ἄαμοροι read ἄμμοροι. In n. 8 before "One would" add "In that case".

Rethymno

Konstantinos Spanoudakis.